G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
191.
(In Uzirai)

File No. 98

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Removal of a dwelling house from the precincts of the Trinseswaraswami temple at Mysore

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Précis.

Subject.

Removal of a dwelling house from the precincts of the Trinneswaraswami Temple at Mysore.

No 16 17-5- 1892

The Mysore Palace Controller reported that inside the compound of Trinneswaraswami Temple, one Venkataramaiah has erected a building to house his household god in and use it as his dwelling also. He therefore recommended, pursuant to the Govt order No 504 dated the 24th Feb 1892 that the building in question might be removed or Taken over at a fair valuation.

Docket No 219 dated the 26th May 1892

was addressed to the Dy
Commissioner of Mysore from this Office
to enquire into the matter
and submit a valuation
of the dwelling house.

The Dy Comm^r No 820.
submits the deposition
of Venkatakaramaiah
and the valuation
statement of the house
in question for orders.

The Mysore Dt
Amrzan Officer states
that the Palace Inspector
Estimates the value of
the building at Rs 670
and suggests that
the vacant ground

near the entrance of the temple may be given to Kuka Tarumanesh for building a Mantapa for the location of his family God He is said to have been in possession of the building inside from a long time

~~The following is the deposition of Periatharumanesh~~

In his deposition I am Periatharumanesh states that he will be deprived of the means of his livelihood if he is compelled to leave the present premises = He says that the late Mahaswejah had given permission to his father to have his family deity ~~inside~~ He worshipped in a house in the outer compound of the Temple - There is an order copy of which is in the file

which goes to show
that it had been
sanctioned to supply
him with grass & wood
besides lodging -

Order

It is objectionable to
have
allow private buildings
in the interior of the
public temple and ~~especially~~ it may be regarded as
~~for the~~ Work order No 501-8
dated the 24th Feb 1892.

The Viceroy will
in no way ~~sanction~~ plan
an objectionable
~~site~~ outside the temple
& chosen & adequate
compensation granted
construct
for the ~~removal~~ ~~of the~~
new building

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 3.

From

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To

The Palace Controller
Mysore

Dated 11th April

Despatched 12 "

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 641
M. E. No. 98-92.

With reference to ~~his office~~ ~~Referring to his~~ ~~letter~~ ~~No~~ ~~dated~~ ~~the~~ ~~ult~~ ~~inst~~ ~~on the subject~~ ~~noted in the margin~~ dated 7th December 1892 ~~from~~ has the honor to request that he will be good enough to inspect the building in the possession of Venkataramanaiah in the Temple of Jnanesvara Swamy at Mysore and report whether it is actually used by him as a dwelling house and also whether the new

side selected for the
location of his family
deity is an eligible
place for the purpose
By order
CA
Secy
C/E